

Blood Concentration of Immunoreactive „C” peptide of Parathormon (c-iPTH and Calcitonin (CT) and Correlation Between the Number and Magnitude of Howship Lacunes (HL) in Patients With Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease Treated and Non-Treated With Regular Haemodialysis

1. Doc. Dr. Dorontina Bexheti¹, 2. Mr. Pharm. Arta Dauti², 3. Prof. Dr. Sadi Bexheti, 4. Prof. Dr. Lutfi Zylbeari¹, 5. Mr. Dr. Gazmend Zylbeari¹

1. Faculty of Medical Sciences, State University of Tetova, Macedonia
2. Department of Pharmacy, Medic Faculty of Prishtina, R. Kosova

Abstract

Seventy-one patients (71) with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [21 men and 18 females non-treated with hemodialysis and 19 men, respectively 13 females treated with regularly repeated hemodialysis] are investigated. The mean clearance of creatinin in investigated group were 0.17 mL/sec (10.2 mL/min). The average age of non-dialyzed group were 40.3 years, and in the dialyzed patients – 38.6 years. The transfixant iliac bone biopsy is committed in two patient's group (non-demi-mineralized sections; Goldner coloration). The electronic pencil with "on line" computer connection ("Apple") is utilized for measurement of trabecular bone mass and the volume of howship lacunes (HL). The number and volume of HL is correlated with the blood concentration of c-iPTH and CT. The mean value of the proportion: "volume of the HL / trabecular bone mass" (%) in non-dialyzed patients is 0.01%, and in dialyzed group = 0.008% (the difference is statistically non significant). The correlation between the immunoreactive "C" peptide of parathormon (c-iPTH) and HL's volume in non-dialyzed patient's group is non-significant too ($r = NS$), but in the dialyzed subjects, it is negative ($r = -0.31$). However, the relationship between the plasma concentration of calcitonin (CT) and the volume of HL is reciprocal in two groups of investigated patients ($r_1 = -0.51$; $r_2 = -0.10$). The aim of the study is to correlate the relationship of the "skeletal" proteohormones (parathormion-PTH, calcitonin-CT) and their influence on the skeletal "how-ship" destruction (Howship Lacunes-HL) in a given critical moment when bone biopsy and blood chemistry for determining serum concentration of c-iPTH and CT are done in patients treated in the Department of Nefrology (Faculty of Medicine, University, St. Cyrill and Methodius", Skopje, Macedonia). **Material and methods:** 39 CKD patients not treated with dialysis (21 males and 18 females, mean age 40.3, range 18-60 years) and 32 Hemodialysis patients (19 males and 13 females, mean time of dialysis 22.3 months, range 4-108 months, mean age 38.6, range 21-55 years) have been investigated. Both groups being age and sex matched and had creatinin clearance below 0.17 mL/sec (10.2 mL/min) with residual urine output less than 0.006 mL/sec (500 mL/24h).

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, calcitonin, parathyroid hormone, bone disease, bone biopsy

I Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) exerts nosocomial damage of the skeletal and muscle system in CKD patients (the so called uremic/renal osteodystrophy -ROD). The elucidation of the pathogenesis of renal metabolic bone disease (ROD) enables medicamentous, dialysis and/or surgical interventions, thus providing prophylaxis and better treatment of this severe complication of chronic uremia(1). Renal osteodystrophy is currently defined as an alteration of bone morphology in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD)(1). It is one measure of the skeletal component

of the systemic disorder of chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder-CKD-MBD(6,7,8, 9). The term "renal osteodystrophy" was coined in 1943 60 years after an association was identified between bone disease and renal failure(10,11).

Doc. Dr. Dorontina Bexheti- Faculty of Medical Sciences, State University of Tetova, Macedonia

Mr.Pharm. Arta Dauti- Departement of Pharmacy, MedicFaculty of Prishtina,R. Kosova
 Prof.Dr. Sadi Bexheti-.Faculty of Medical Sciences,State University of Tetova,Macedonia
 Prof. Dr. Lutfi Zylbeari¹-.Faculty of Medical Sciences,State University of Tetova,Macedonia
 Mr.Dr. Gazmend Zylbeari¹-.Faculty of Medical Sciences,State University of Tetova,Macedonia

calcification (12). Renal osteodystrophy has been classically described to be the result of hyperparathyroidism secondary hyperphosphatemia combined with hypocalcaemia, both of which are due to decreased excretion of phosphate by the damaged kidney. Low activated vitamin D₃ levels are a result of the damaged kidneys' inability to convert vitamin D₃ into its active form, calcitriol, and result in further hypocalcaemia. High levels of fibroblast growth factor

The traditional types of renal osteodystrophy have been defined on the basis of turnover and mineralization. Osteitis fibrosa, increased turnover and resorption rate and leads to histologic bone signs of normal mineralization; osteomalacia, decreased turnover and secondary hyperparathyroidism. However, in other situations, and abnormal mineralization; adynamic, decreased turnover. The initial increase in parathyroid hormone and bone and acellularity; mixed, increased turnover with abnormal remodeling may be slowed down excessively by a multitude of factors including age, ethnic origin, sex, and treatments. A Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes report has suggested that bone biopsies in such as vitamin D, calcium salts, calcimimetics, steroids, patients with CKD should be characterized by determining (and so forth, leading to low bone turnover or adynamic bone disease. Both high and low bone turnover diseases are system). On the other hand, CKD-MBD is defined as currently observed equally in CKD patients treated by systemic disorder of mineral and bone metabolism due to dialysis, and all types of renal osteodystrophy are CKD manifested by either one or a combination of those associated with an increased risk of skeletal fractures, following: 1) abnormalities of calcium, phosphorus, PTH, or vitamin D metabolism; 2) abnormalities in bone turnover, mineralization, volume, linear growth, or strength (renal osteodystrophy); and 3) vascular or other soft-tissue

II Material and methods

39 CKD patients not treated with dialysis (21 males and 18 females, mean age 40.3, range 18-60 years) and 39 hemodialysis patients (19 males and 13 females, mean age 47.3, range 22.3-99.0 months, range 4-108 months, mean age 73.356-99.0 months) have been investigated. Both groups being age and sex matched and had creatinine clearance below 0.17 mL/sec (10.2 mL/min) with residual urine output less than 0.006 mL/sec (500 mL/24h). Patients were divided into two groups: non-treated with hemodialysis had not been previously on dialysis and were taking "specific" therapy (inorganic phosphate chelators, calcium salts, supranormal doses of vitamin D or its active metabolites). Hemodialysis patients (3x4h/weekly) had been regularly treated with aluminium hydroxide (2.4-4.8 g/day) and calcium (Ca-Sandoz, 1 g/day and/or CaCO₃ up to 6 g/day). The selected hemodialysis patients were not taking any other medications (such as vitamin D₃). Blood for TBM and HL is calculated by the Deless equation (absolute estimating the serum concentration of c-iPTH and CT has been taken at 8 o'clock in the morning (5 mL). RIA method was used with commercial kits (PTH-RIA-100/EO 182-1, IRE and PTH-RIA-100/EO 182-1, IRE both groups and the significance of their differences was and Byk-Mallinckrodt, Belgium). Immediately thereafter, a bone biopsy has been performed by a transfixant iliac bone biopsy and c-PTH and CT was linearly correlated with the total modified Bordier's needle (inner diameter = 5 mm). The volume of HL (a sum of volumes of all the present HL in a obtained bone cylinders, after fixation with 96% ethanol, are impregnated in 1% methyl methacrylate to preserve the nascent ossal structure during the tissue cutting (with Jung K mycotom). The bone cuts (thickness between 4 -5 µm), coloured following Goldner, are utilized for numbering

III Results

The results are presented on the following tables:

Table 1. The mean serum values (X ± SEM, SEM = Standard Error Mean) for c-iPTH and CT in non/hemodialyzed patients

| | c-iPTH (mU/mL) | CT (pmoL/L) | (r: y = ax+b) correlation |
|---|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Patients not on HD (X₁) | 54.15 ± 6.23 | 89.65 ± 19.48 | (- 0.14: - 0.4.x + 107.65) |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Patients on HD (X₂) | 50.58 ± 5.79 σ _{X1-X2} (Z) = NS | 31.33 ± 7.54 Z = 3.60 SEM (p = 0.003) | (-0.32: - 0.42x + 52.65) |
| Total | 53.11 ± 4.98 | 62.58 ± 10.68 | |

Z = statistical significance of the difference (σ_{X1-X2}) between two mean values (Z presented in SEM).The ratio
 r = coefficient of correlation (interrelationship between the each value for CT and c-iPTH)

The table 1 presents the mean serum concentration for c-iPTH and CT in two investigated groups of patients ,the mutual interrelationship [CT↔c-iPTH;coefficient of correlation (r)] and the significance of statistical difference for the obtained values in two patient’s series

Table 2. The mean values (X±SEM) for absolute number of HL, number of HL/μm³, volume of HL (μm³) and percent of HL from total,absolute trabecular bone mass (non dialysis vs dialysis subjects)

| X ± SEM (extremes, V) | Absolute number of HL | HL/μm³ | Volume of HL (in μm³) | HL (% of TBM) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Non dialysis pts (N = 39) | 5.95 ± 0.98 (1 – 15; 1.03) | 0.64 ± 0.06 (0.1 – 1; 0.59) | 15188.7 ± 2345.9 (1996.7 – 53498.9;0.96) | |
| Females | 7.43 ± 1.29 (2 – 15; 0.74) | 0.81 ± 0.05 (0.55 – 1; 0.26) | 18368.6 ± 4385.9 (6875.3 – 53498.9; 1.01) | 0.01 |
| Males | 4.50 ± 0.67 (1 – 6; 0.68) | 0.47 ± 0.05 (0.1 – 0.83; 0.49) | 12962.7 ± 2461.2 (1996.7 – 28467.1; 0.87) | |
| Dialysis pts (N = 32) | 5.05 ± 0.45 (1 – 20; 0.50) | 0.42 ± 0.12 (0.07 – 0.87; 1.62) | 9194.4 ± 1704.4 (642.4 – 14071.1; 1.05) | |
| Females | 3.32 ± 0.48 (2 - 4; 0.52) | 0.43 ± 0.11 (0.20 – 0.73; 0.92) | 7916.8 ± 2062.1 (3297.5 – 13205.1; 0.94) | 0.008 |
| Males | 6.75 ± 3.41 (1 – 20; 2.20) | 0.40 ± 0.12 (0.1 – 0.9; 1.31) | 10152.6 ± 2472.3 (642.4 – 1407.1; 1.06) | |

V- coefficient of variation (SD / X)

Analysing table 2,one could note that the absolute number of HL in non-dialysis patients is significantly higher in females than males (the difference in means is statistically significant, Z = 2.02 SEM).the ratio is reverse in dialysis patients, but statistically not significant (Z = 1.00 SEM).Correlating means of the same variable in non-dialysis and dialysis patients (the whole group, females and males),one could note significant difference only in the mean absolute number of HL in females (Z = 2.97 SEM).The number of HL per volume unit of TBM (HL/μm³) shows a similar distribution as the previous variable. In the group of non-dialysis patients, females have higher number of HL/μm³ in the TBM (Z = 4.80 SEM) than males. Non-dialysis females have also higher number of HL/μm³ in TBM, than females on

maintenance hemodialysis (Z = 3.14 SEM).the rest of the groups and subgroups don't show significant difference in the means. The volume of HL in dialysis patients is significantly smaller than in the group of non-dialysis patients (Z = 2.07 SEM).The same relationship is in the female group (Z = 2.16 SEM) whereas for the male group there is no significant difference in the volume of HL. The ratio of the same variable in the non-dialysis vs dialysis males and females is statistically insignificant (Z = NS)The difference in pro. portions (Z_{p1-p2}) of participating volume of HL in TBM in non-dialysis and dialysis group of patients, though significant at first sight (1.25 x bigger proportion in non-dialysis patients) is statistically insignificant.

Table 3. Correlation of c-iPTH and CT with the number (HL/μm³) and volume of HL (in μm³)

| r: y = ax + b | HL/μm³ ↔ c-iPTH | HL/μm³ ↔ CT | Vol. of HL (μm³) ↔ c-iPTH | Vol. of HL (μm³) ↔ CT |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| nondialysis pts | 0.65 Y = 0.006x + 0.34 | NS / | NS / | (- 0.51) Y = -81x + 18180.8 |
| Dialysis pts | (- 0.68) Y = - 0.01x + 1.18 | NS | (-0.31) Y = -74.93 + | (-0.10) Y = -10x + 6722.5 |

Table 3 shows significant positive correlation ($r = 0.65$) between c-iPTH and the number of $HL/\mu m^3$ trabecular bone in non-dialysis patients. In dialysis patients the relationship between the c-iPTH serum level and distribution of HL is paradoxically negative ($r = -0.68$). CT did not significantly correlate with this micromorphometrical indicator in both groups of patients (dialyzed and non-dialyzed).

The mean volume of HL does not show significant relationship with c-iPTH in non-dialysis patients, whereas dialysis patients show negative, moderately significant correlation ($r = -0.31$). Higher plasma concentrations of calcitonin are associated with lower volume of HL ($r = -0.51$) in non-dialysis uremic patients, whereas for dialysis patients there is practically non significant influence of calcitonin on the volume of HL ($r = -0.10$).

Figure 1. The fibrocytic osteitis with HL lacunae



IV Discussion

Previous data from literature show that CT and PTH are physiological antagonists regarding the plasma regulation of divalent ions, particularly calcium and magnesium (2). The antagonism is specially emphasized in the process of skeletal remodeling. PTH stimulates the growth and activity of both osteoblasts and osteoclasts (multinuclearity, increasing the number of cytoplasmic podocytes...), whereas CT decreases the number and activity of osteoclasts (thus promoting hypocalcemic action)³. HLs are a form of local bone destruction that appears by action of active, polynuclear osteoclasts. Their magnitude and number are proportional to the activity of pro-destructive factors (particularly PTH), and inversely proportional to appositional factors of the skeleton (depositing osteoid and its mineralization). As in forming of an HL one or more osteoclasts may be implicated, the final effect of their acting results in a forming of a bigger (or smaller) number of HL, with bigger or smaller volume. The volume of HL (margintrabecular, intratrabecular) is a true expression of bone resorption and represents the fibrocytic osteitis (4). Through bone biopsy and estimating plasma levels of c-iPTH and CT are performed simultaneously, thus having one moment of the natural evolution of renal osteodystrophy/metabolic

osteopathy (non-dialysis patients) and its developing during dialysis therapy, we don't have any insight in the processes of skeletal remodeling before and after the freezing. Thus, having in mind the theoretical assumptions, efforts are made to correlate the levels of c-iPTH and CT with the extent of lacunar HL-resorption along the margin and inner space of the trabecular bone in clinical conditions. We noted moderately high positive correlation ($r = 0.65$) between the serum PTH level and the number of HL per unit volume of TBM (in μm^3) in non-dialysis patients. In patients on maintenance hemodialysis, the correlation is totally adverse ($r = -0.68$). This indicates reduced or absent destructive effect of PTH on bones, at least when regarding forming of HL-areas. This result implicates better skeleton reactivity to the procalcemic action of PTH in conditions of maintenance dialysis (more active periosteocytic osteolysis vs HL formation). The level of CT insignificantly correlates to the number of $HL/\mu m^3$ trabecular bone in both groups of patients. The volume of HL (in μm^3) did not show significant correlation with PTH level in patients not treated with maintenance hemodialysis and CT level in dialysis patients, whereas the dialysis patients showed paradoxically inverse moderate

correlation ($r = -0.31$) for the relation: PTH \leftrightarrow volume of HL, and negative (expected) moderately intense association for the relationship: volume of HL \leftrightarrow CT-level ($r = -0.51$) in non-dialysis patients. From the above mentioned correlations it can be concluded that PTH and CT do not exert the expected effects in dialysis patients (don't exert progressive increase/decrease in the number and volume of HL). In non-dialysis patients PTH would force forming of HL, but would not influence their increase. It seems as it exerts primary osteoaggression ("primum movens"), but allows other factors to influence the further growth

of HL (increased bone resorption out of control). CT inversely, does not affect the number of HL per unit trabecular bone volume, but decreases their growth (decreases the activity of osteoclasts; makes conversion of polynuclear in oligonuclear osteoclast cells (5)). Finally, in dialysis patients there isn't any difference in the skeletal responding to these hormones in relation to sex, whereas in the group of non-dialysis patients, females have greater degree of bone dynamics (the representation of $HL/\mu m^3$, absolute number of HL and volume of HL are significantly higher in females compared to males).

V Conclusion

Frequency and size of HL in trabecular bone are pathological processes featuring fibrous osteitis. In patients non treated with maintenance dialysis (natural evolution of the renal metabolic bone disease) the number of HL in trabecular bone is positively correlated with PTH ($r = 0.65$), but there is an inverse relationship between CT and volume of HL ($r = -0.51$). The process of multicentre bone resorption is probably directly related to PTH activity, and the extension of lacunar osteoresorption is well controlled by calcitonin. In dialysis patients, the negative correlation

between $HL/\mu m^3$ and plasma level of c-PTH ($r = -0.61$) implicates primarily non-lacunar (periosteocytic osteolysis) bone resorption, and CT more moderate inversely correlates ($r = 0.31$) with the size of HL. In both groups of patients, according to our results, a beneficial therapeutic effect would be expected upon application of exogenous calcitonin (reduction of the HL volume with the better therapeutic response in non-dialysis patients group).

References

1. Kevin J, Martin and Estern A. Gonzales. Metabolic Bone Disease in Chronic Kidney Disease. 2007, JASN, 18:875-885
2. Williams GA, Kukreja SC, Sethi R, Hargis GK, Bowser EN. Parathyroid hormone and calcitonin secretion in man: effect of dopamine agonists. *horm. Metab. Res.* 1986, 18:64-66
3. Chambers TJ, Athanasou NA, Fuller K. Effect of parathyroid hormone and calcitonin on the cytoplasmic spreading of isolated osteoclasts. *J Endocrinol.* 1984, 102(3):281-286
4. Eubanks PJ, Stabile BE. Osteitis fibrosa cystica with renal parathyroid hormone Resistance: A review of pseudohypoparathyroidism with insight into Calcium homeostasis. 1998, *Archives of Surgery* 133 (6): 673-876
5. Heynen G, Kanis JA, Oliver DO, Ledingham JGG, Russell RGG. Evidence that endogenous calcitonin protects against renal bone disease. *Lancet*, 1976, 2:1322-1326
6. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD-MBD Work Group. "KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD) (PDF). *Kidney International. Supplement* (113): S1-130. August 2009.
7. Moe S, Drüeke T, Cunningham J, Goodman W, Martin K, Olgaard K, Ott S, Sprague S, Lameire N, Eknoyan G (June 2006). "Definition, evaluation, and classification of renal
8. "ERA-EDTA Working Group on Chronic Kidney Disease and Mineral Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD)". Retrieved 4 February 2016.
9. Lui S, Chu H (1943). "Studies in calcium and phosphorus metabolism with special reference to pathogenesis and effects of dihydrotachysterol (A. T. 10) and iron". *Medicine* 22: 103-107.
10. Lucas RC (1833). "Form of late rickets associated with albuminuria, rickets of adolescents". *Lancet* 1: 993-994.
11. Llach F, Bover J (2000). "Renal Osteodystrophies". In Brenner BM. *The Kidney. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company.* pp. 2103-2186.
12. Cozzolino M, Ureña-Torres P, Vervloet MG, Brandenburg V, Bover J, Goldsmith D, Larsson

*TE, Massy ZA, Mazzaferro S (October 2014).
"Is chronic kidney disease-mineral bone
disorder (CKD-MBD) really a*

*syndrome?". Nephrology, Dialysis,
Transplantation **29**(10): 1815–20.*

IJSER